



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/870,972 | 05/31/2001 | Gary G. Stringham | 10008004-1 8574 | | |
| 7590 06/29/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | EXAMINER POON, KING Y | | |
| | | | | | |
| Fort Collins, C | O 80527-2400 | | 2624 | 2624 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | ion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/870,9 | 972 | STRINGHAM, GARY G. | | | | |
| 0 | ffice Action Summary | Examine | or | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | King Y. F | | 2624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Resp | onsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>14 March 20</u> 08 | 5. | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of | Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4a) O 5)☐ Clain 6)☐ Clain 7)☐ Clain | f the above claim(s) is/are pend f the above claim(s) is/a n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) <u>1-16, 18, 19</u> are subject to | re withdrawn from o | onsideration. | | | | | |
| Application Pa | apers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| · | cement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected to | • | • , , | , | | | | |
| Priority under | 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | ferences Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 3) Information | aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Species disclosed in page 7, lines 11-21, the first embodiment; the control module embodied in a copier.
- II. Species disclosed in page 7, lines 22-31, page 8, lines 1-7, page 8, lines 16-17; the other embodiment; the control module embodied/executed in a printer.
- III. Species disclosed in page 8, lines 18-23, page 9, lines 7-8, the other embodiment; the component/printer driver of the control module executed in a computer connected to the printer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/870,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7440.

6/21/05

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER